## Cognitive models

## Overview

- goal and task hierarchies
- linguistic
- physical and device
- architectural

## Cognitive models

## They model aspects of user:

- understanding
- knowledge
- intentions
- processing

## Common categorisation:

- Competence
- Performance

Computational flavour

No clear divide

#### Goal and task hierarchies

# Mental processing as divide-and-conquer Example: sales report

produce report gather data

- . find book names
- . . do keywords search of names database  $further\ sub\text{-}goals$
- . . sift through names and abstracts by hand  $further\ sub\mbox{-}goals$
- . search sales database  $further\ sub-goals$  layout tables and histograms  $further\ sub-goals$  write description  $further\ sub-goals$

## Issues for goal hierarchies

- Granularity
  - Where do we start?
  - Where do we stop?
  - Routine learned behaviour, not problem solving
  - The unit task
- Conflict
  - More than one way to achieve a goal
- Error

## Techniques

- Goals, Operators, Methods and Selection (GOMS)
- Cognitive Complexity Theory (CCT)
- Hierarchical Task Analysis (HTA)

### GOMS

Goals what the user wants to achieve

Operators basic actions user performs

Methods decomposition of a goal into subgoals/operators

Selection means of choosing between competing methods

### GOMS example

GOAL: ICONISE-WINDOW

[select

GOAL: USE-CLOSE-METHOD

MOVE-MOUSE-TO-WINDOW-HEADER

POP-UP-MENU

CLICK-OVER-CLOSE-OPTION

GOAL: USE-L7-METHOD

PRESS-L7-KEY]

For a particular user:

Rule 1: Select USE-CLOSE-METHOD unless

another rule applies.

Rule 2: If the application is GAME, select

L7-METHOD.

Two parallel descriptions:

User production rules

**Device** generalised transition networks

Production rules are of the form:

if condition then action

Transition networks covered under dialogue models

Example: editing with vi

Production rules are in long-term memory

Model contents of working memory as attribute-value mapping

```
(GOAL perform unit task
(TEXT task is insert space)
(TEXT task is at 5 23)
(CURSOR 8 7)
```

Rules are pattern-matched to working memory, e.g.,

LOOK-TEXT task is at %LINE %COLUMN

is true, with LINE = 5 COLUMN = 23.

(9)

### Four rules would model inserting a space:

SELECT-INSERT-SPACE

INSERT-SPACE-MOVE-FIRST

### When fired, adds to working memory

```
(GOAL insert space)
(NOTE executing insert space)
(LINE 5)
(COLUMN 23)
```

### Notes on CCT

Parallel model

Proceduralisation of actions

Novice versus expert style rules

Error behaviour can be represented

#### Measures

- Depth of goal structure
- Number of rules
- Comparison with device description

## Problems with goal hierarchies

- a post hoc technique
- expert versus novice
- How cognitive are they?

Simple extensions possible (e.g., closure)

## Linguistic notations

Understanding the user's behaviour and cognitive difficulty based on analysis of language between user and system.

Similar in emphasis to dialogue models

- Backus–Naur Form (BNF)
- Task-Action Grammar (TAG)

#### BNF

Very common notation from computer science
A purely syntactic view of the dialogue

**Terminals** lowest level of user behaviour CLICK-MOUSE, MOVE-MOUSE

Nonterminals ordering of terminals; higher level of abstraction

select-menu, position-mouse

## Example of BNF

## Basic syntax:

nonterminal ::= expression

An expression contains terminals and nonterminals combined in sequence (+) or as alternatives (|).

```
draw\_line \quad ::= select\_line + choose\_points + \\ last\_point \\ select\_line \quad ::= pos\_mouse + CLICK\_MOUSE \\ choose\_points ::= choose\_one \\ | choose\_one + choose\_points \\ choose\_one \quad ::= pos\_mouse + CLICK\_MOUSE \\ last\_point \quad ::= pos\_mouse + DBL\_CLICK\_MOUSE \\ pos\_mouse \quad ::= NULL \\ | MOVE\_MOUSE + pos\_mouse \\ \end{cases}
```

## Measurements with BNF

Number of rules (not so good)

Number of + and | operators

## Complications

- same syntax for different semantics
- no reflection of user's perception
- minimal consistency checking

### TAG

Making consistency more explicit

Encoding user's world knowledge

Parameterised grammar rules

Nonterminals are modified to include additional semantic features

### Consistency in TAG

In BNF, three UNIX commands would be described as

```
copy ::= cp + filename + filename
cp + filenames + directory
move ::= mv + filename + filename
mv + filenames + directory
link ::= ln + filename + filename
linh + filenames + directory
```

No BNF measure could distinguish between this and a less consistent grammar in which

$$link ::= ln + filename + filename$$
  
 $| ln + directory + filenames$ 

## Consistency in TAG (cont'd)

In TAG, this consistency of argument order can be made explicit using a parameter, or *semantic* feature for file operations.

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \text{Feature} & \text{Possible values} \\ \hline Op & \{ \ copy, move, link \ \} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$file\_op[Op] ::= command[Op] + \\ filename + filename \\ | command[Op] + \\ filenames + directory$$

```
command[Op = copy] ::= cp

command[Op = move] ::= mv

command[Op = link] ::= ln
```

## Other uses of TAG

Users existing knowledge

Congruence between features and commands

These are modelled as derived rules

## Physical and device models

Based on empirical knowledge of human motor system

User's task: acquisition then execution.

These only address execution

Complementary with goal hierarchies

- The Keystroke Level Model (KLM)
- Buxton's 3-state model

(21)

## KLM

Six execution phase operators

Physical motor K keystroking

P pointing

**H** homing

**D** drawing

Mental M mental preparation

System R response

Times are empirically determined.

$$T_{execute} = T_K + T_P + T_H + T_D + T_M + T_R$$

## Example

GOAL: ICONISE-WINDOW

select

GOAL: USE-CLOSE-METHOD

MOVE-MOUSE-TO-WINDOW-HEADER

POP-UP-MENU

CLICK-OVER-CLOSE-OPTION

GOAL: USE-L7-METHOD

PRESS-L7-KEY]

## Assuming hand starts on mouse:

USE-L7-METHOD		USE-CLOSE-METHOD	
Operator	$T  ext{ (sec)}$	Operator	T (sec)
H[to kbd]	0.40	P[to menu]	1.1
M	1.35	B[LEFT down]	0.1
K[L7  key]	0.28	M	1.35
Total	2.03	P[to option]	1.1
	'	B[LEFT up]	0.1
		Total	3.75

### Architectural models

All of these cognitive models make assumptions about the architecture of the human mind.

- Long-term/Short-term memory
- Problem spaces
- Interacting Cognitive Subsystems
- Connectionist
- ACT\*

## Display-based interaction

Most cognitive models do not deal with user observation and perception.

Some techniques have been extended to handle system output (e.g., BNF with sensing terminals, Display-TAG), but problems persist.

Level of granularity

Exploratory interaction versus planning

(25)